

Aquaculture

Research to foster investor attraction and establishment of commercial Aquaculture Parks aligned to major saline groundwater interception schemes in South Australia



SARDI Publication Number: F2008/001027-1
SARDI Research Report Series No: 317

Wayne Hutchinson and Tim Flowers

SARDI Aquatics Sciences,
PO Box 120, Henley Beach, SA 5022

December 2008



Government
of South Australia



This publication may be cited as:

Hutchinson, W.G. and Flowers, T. (2008) Research to foster investor attraction and establishment of commercial Aquaculture Parks aligned to major saline groundwater interception schemes in South Australia. Centre for Natural Resource Management (CNRM), Project 043713. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2008/001027-1, SARDI Research Report Series No. 317, 378 pp.

South Australian Research and Development Institute
SARDI Aquatic Sciences
2 Hamra Ave, West Beach, SA, 5024

Phone: 08 8207 5400
Facsimile: 08 8207 5481
Website: <http://www.sardi.sa.gov.au>

© This work is copyright. Except as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), no part of this publication may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owners. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission.

The author does not warrant that the information in this book is free from errors or omissions. The author does not accept any form of liability, be it contractual, tortuous or otherwise, for the contents of this book or for any consequences arising from its use or any reliance placed upon it. The information, opinions and advice contained in this book may not relate to, or be relevant to, a reader's particular circumstances. Opinions expressed by the authors are the individual opinions of those persons and are not necessarily those of the publisher or research provider.

SARDI Publication No: F2008/001027-1
SARDI Research Report Series No: 317
ISBN No: 978-1-921563-06-5

Printed in 2009 by:
PIRSA
Corporate Services
101 Grenfell St
Adelaide
SA 5001

Author: Wayne Hutchinson and Tim Flowers
Reviewers: Mehdi Doroudi and Steven Clarke
Approved by: Steven Clarke



Signed:
Date: 18 December 2008
Distribution: CNRM, PIRSA Aquaculture, National Library, State library of SA, SA Aquatic Sciences Library
Circulation: Public domain

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY.....	I
ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS.....	XVII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	XIX
OUTCOMES ACHIEVED	XXI
SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AGAINST OBJECTIVES	XXIV
NEED	XXX
CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE USE OF GROUNDWATER FOR INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE	1
1.1. SUMMARY.....	1
1.2. WATER SOURCES.....	2
1.3. WATER QUALITY	2
1.4. INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE IN AUSTRALIA.....	3
1.4.1. VICTORIA.....	3
1.4.2. QUEENSLAND.....	4
1.4.3. WESTERN AUSTRALIA	4
1.4.4. NEW SOUTH WALES	5
1.4.5. SOUTH AUSTRALIA.....	6
1.5. SOUTH AUSTRALIA’S SALT INTERCEPTION SCHEMES.....	7
1.5.1. LIMITS ON DISPOSAL BASINS	11
1.6. WAIKERIE INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE CENTRE	11
1.6.1. PILOT COMMERCIAL SCALE PRODUCTION TANKS	17
1.7. REFERENCES	20
CHAPTER 2 - CHARACTERISATION OF THE COMPOSITION OF SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SALINITY INTERCEPTION SCHEME AND STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN	23
2.1. SUMMARY.....	23
2.2. BACKGROUND.....	24
2.3. METHODS.....	25
2.3.1. WATER SAMPLING.....	25
2.3.2. WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS	25
2.4. RESULTS	28
2.4.1. PH	28
2.4.2. SALINITY.....	30
2.4.3. CALCIUM	30
2.4.4. MAGNESIUM	30

2.4.5.	POTASSIUM	32
2.4.6.	SODIUM.....	32
2.4.7.	BICARBONATE	33
2.4.8.	CHLORIDE	33
2.4.9.	POTASSIUM:CHLORIDE RATIO.....	35
2.4.10.	FLUORIDE	35
2.4.11.	SULPHATE.....	36
2.4.12.	SILICA	37
2.4.13.	METALS	37
2.4.14.	IRON.....	37
2.4.15.	ARSENIC	39
2.4.16.	RAINFALL	39
2.5.	DISCUSSION	40
2.6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	42
2.7.	REFERENCES	43

CHAPTER 3 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY (*ARGYROSOMUS JAPONICUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN. 45

3.1	SUMMARY	45
3.2	BACKGROUND.....	46
3.2	METHODS.....	48
3.2.1	EXPERIMENT 1 – PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SIS GROUNDWATER	48
3.2.2	EXPERIMENT 2 - PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY IN DILUTED SEAWATER, SIS GROUNDWATER AND SEAWATER	50
3.2.3	EXPERIMENT 3 - MEASUREMENT OF ROUTINE METABOLIC RATE, AND MAXIMUM METABOLIC RATE AND METABOLIC SCOPE	50
3.2.4	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	51
3.3	RESULTS	51
3.3.1	EXPERIMENT 1	51
3.3.2	EXPERIMENT 2	54
3.3.3	EXPERIMENT 3	55
3.4	DISCUSSION	56
3.5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	59
3.6	REFERENCES	60

CHAPTER 4 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SNAPPER (*PAGRUS AURATUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN..... 63

4.1.	SUMMARY.....	63
4.2.	BACKGROUND.....	63
4.3.	METHODS.....	66
4.3.1.	EXPERIMENT 1 – SNAPPER GROWN IN SPDB GROUNDWATER.....	66
4.3.2.	EXPERIMENT 2 - METABOLIC RESPONSE OF SNAPPER CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER.....	68
4.3.3.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	69
4.4.	RESULTS	69
4.4.1.	EXPERIMENT 1	69
4.4.2.	EXPERIMENT 2	70
4.5.	DISCUSSION	72
4.6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	74
4.7.	REFERENCES	75

CHAPTER 5 – EVALUATION OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH IN SALINE GROUNDWATER..... 77

5.1.	SUMMARY.....	77
5.2.	BACKGROUND.....	78
5.3.	METHODS.....	80
5.3.1.	EXPERIMENT 1 – PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT OF THE SURVIVAL AND GROWTH OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH IN SIS GROUNDWATER.....	80
5.3.2.	EXPERIMENT 2 – METABOLIC RESPONSES OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER	82
5.3.3.	EXPERIMENT 3 –ASSESSMENT OF THE GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH IN STANDARD SEAWATER, DILUTED SEAWATER, SIS GROUNDWATER AND POTASSIUM SUPPLEMENTED SIS GROUNDWATER	82
5.4.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	85
5.5.	RESULTS	85
5.5.1.	EXPERIMENT 1	85
5.5.2.	EXPERIMENT 2	86
5.5.3.	EXPERIMENT 3	87
5.6.	DISCUSSION	92
5.7.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	94
5.8.	REFERENCES	95

CHAPTER 6 – PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY, *ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS*, CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER AT WISAC.....97

6.1.	SUMMARY.....	97
6.2.	BACKGROUND.....	98
6.3.	METHODS.....	99
6.3.1.	BATCH 1 – ADVANCED MULLOWAY	99
6.3.2.	BATCH 2 – FINGERLING MULLOWAY	100
6.3.3.	PRODUCT SAFETY AND SALE OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT WISAC ...	101
6.4.	RESULTS	101
6.4.1.	BATCH 1.....	101
6.4.2.	BATCH 2.....	103
6.4.3.	PERFORMANCE OF ALL BATCHES OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT WISAC...	105
6.4.4.	PRODUCT SAFETY AND SALE OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT WISAC ...	110
6.5.	DISCUSSION	111
6.6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	114
6.7.	REFERENCES	115
APPENDIX 6.1.	FORMULATION OF THE MULLOWAY DIET PRODUCED BY SARDI AT THE AUSTRALASIAN EXPERIMENTAL STOCKFEED EXTRUSION CENTRE, ROSEWORTHY	117

CHAPTER 7 - PERFORMANCE OF A SEMI-INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM FOR CULTURE OF MULLOWAY AT WISAC119

7.1.	SUMMARY.....	119
7.2.	BACKGROUND.....	120
7.3.	METHODS.....	121
7.4.	RESULTS	122
7.4.1.	WATER TEMPERATURE.....	122
7.4.2.	SALINITY.....	125
7.4.3.	DISSOLVED OXYGEN	126
7.4.4.	TURBIDITY.....	127
7.4.5.	DISSOLVED CARBON DIOXIDE AND PH.....	129
7.4.6.	WATER USE	132
7.4.7.	SIS GROUNDWATER PARAMETERS.....	133
7.4.8.	MAJOR OPERATING COSTS	133
7.5.	DISCUSSION	133
7.6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	137
7.7.	REFERENCES	138
APPENDIX 7.1.	METHOD FOR DETERMINATION OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L) BY TITRATION (AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH ASSOCIATION, 2005)	139
APPENDIX 7.2.	FACTORS FOR CALCULATING DISSOLVED CO ₂ CONCENTRATIONS IN WATER WITH KNOWN PH, TEMPERATURE AND ALKALINITY (FROM TUCKER, 1984 IN WURTS AND DURBOROW 1992)	140
APPENDIX 7.3.	PORTABLE METER PURCHASED TO MEASURE DISSOLVED CO ₂ IN SALINE GROUNDWATER AT WISAC (WWW.OXYGUARD.DK).....	141

APPENDIX 7.4.	COMPARISON OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ CONCENTRATION (MG/L) MEASURED BY TITRATION AND USING A PORTABLE CO ₂ METER (OXYGUARD® CO ₂ PORTABLE).	142
---------------	---	-----

CHAPTER 8 – PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF DISSOLVED CARBON DIOXIDE ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF MULLOWAY, ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS 143

8.1.	SUMMARY.....	143
8.2.	BACKGROUND.....	143
8.3.	METHODS.....	145
8.3.1.	CARBON DIOXIDE LEVELS.....	145
8.3.2.	EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM	146
8.3.3.	FISH AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	146
8.3.4.	WATER QUALITY	148
8.3.5.	CALCULATION OF PERFORMANCE INDICES	149
8.3.6.	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	149
8.4.	RESULTS	149
8.4.1.	WATER QUALITY	149
8.4.2.	MORTALITY	152
8.4.3.	GROWTH PERFORMANCE.....	153
8.5.	DISCUSSION	159
8.6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	161
8.7.	REFERENCES	162

CHAPTER 9 - CHARACTERISATION OF THE EFFECTS OF THE WASTE DISCHARGE FROM THE WAIKERIE INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE CENTRE ON THE COMPOSITION OF SALINE INLAND AQUACULTURE WATER, MAY 2006 TO DECEMBER 2007 165

9.1	SUMMARY.....	165
9.2	BACKGROUND.....	166
9.3	METHODS.....	167
9.3.1	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	168
9.4	RESULTS	168
9.4.1	CULTURE SYSTEM	168
9.4.2	SOLUBLE PHOSPHORUS	168
9.4.3	AMMONIA	168
9.4.4	OXIDISED NITROGEN	170
9.4.5	TOTAL NITROGEN.....	170
9.4.6	SUSPENDED SOLIDS	170
9.5	DISCUSSION	170
9.6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	172

CHAPTER 10 – HALOPHYTE CULTURE TRIALS, MARCH 2007 – FEBRUARY 2008.....173

10.1.	SUMMARY.....	173
10.2.	BACKGROUND.....	173
10.3.	TRIAL 1: POTTING MIX AND INITIAL WATER REGIME	175
	10.3.1. AIM	175
	10.3.2. METHODS.....	175
	10.3.3. RESULTS	177
	10.3.4. DISCUSSION	177
10.4.	TRIAL 2: NEW V USED SIS WATER.....	179
	10.4.1. AIMS	179
	10.4.2. METHODS.....	179
	10.4.3. RESULTS	183
	10.4.4. DISCUSSION	184
10.5.	TRIAL 3: TRANSPLANTATION OF HALOPHYTES.....	185
	10.5.1. AIMS	185
	10.5.2. METHODS.....	185
	10.5.3. RESULTS	186
	10.5.4. DISCUSSION	189
10.6.	FUTURE OPTIONS FOR HALOPHYTE CULTURE IN SIS GROUNDWATER.....	190
	10.6.1. BRIEF REVIEW OF THE CULTURE OF SALT-TOLERANT CROPS	190
	10.6.2. HALOPHYTE SEEDS AND FRUITS	190
	10.6.3. HALOPHYTES AND LEAF PROTEIN.....	192
	10.6.4. PRODUCTION METHODS	193
	10.6.5. SEQUENTIAL RE-USE DRAINING MODEL.....	193
10.7.	EFFICIENCY OF HALOPHYTE PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.....	195
	10.7.1. PRODUCTION.....	195
	10.7.2. NUTRIENT UPTAKE.....	196
	10.7.3. WATER LOSS	196
	10.7.4. PROBLEMS	197
10.8.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	197
10.9.	REFERENCES	198

CHAPTER 11 – CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS201

11.1.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	201
11.2.	GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS.....	203

**APPENDIX A – OVERSEAS TRAVEL REPORT: INLAND AQUACULTURE
STUDY TOUR USA AND ISRAEL, OCTOBER 2004**

**APPENDIX B – CNRM AND SARDI PROJECT INFORMATION SESSION AND
WORKSHOP**

AGENDA AND INTRODUCTION

POWER POINT PRESENTATIONS

PROFESSOR MEHDI DOROUDI: INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE - A NATIONAL
PERSPECTIVE

MR WAYNE HUTCHINSON: R&D AND PROOF OF CONCEPT AQUACULTURE PRODUCTION:
INVESTIGATION OF THE USE OF SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM A SALINITY INTERCEPTION
SCHEME IN THE RIVERLAND, SOUTH AUSTRALIA

MR NEIL SANDERCOCK: SITE OPTIMISATION USING GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
SYSTEMS

DR ERICA RIEBE: SIS FARMED FINFISH - RESULTS OF MARKET RESEARCH

MR JULIAN MORRISON: ECONOMY AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR SALT INTERCEPTION
SCHEMES FOR AQUACULTURE

WORKSHOP DISCUSSION OUTCOMES

APPENDIX C – MEDIA RELEASES

APPENDIX D – BIRD SURVEY

TABLE OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1 - GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO THE USE OF GROUNDWATER FOR INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE.....	1
FIGURE 1.1. SALT INTERCEPTION SCHEMES IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA.....	8
FIGURE 1.2.A INFLOW OF SALINE GROUNDWATER INTO THE RIVER MURRAY WITHOUT IMPLEMENTATION OF WOOLPUNDA SIS	9
FIGURE 1.2.B INTERCEPTION OF SALINE GROUNDWATER BY BORES OF THE WOOLPUNDA SIS	10
FIGURE 1.3.A. ENTRY POINT AT SPDB FOR APPROXIMATELY 30 ML OF SALINE GROUNDWATER EACH DAY FROM SISs IN THE WAIKERIE AREA, SOUTH AUSTRALIA.....	10
FIGURE 1.3.B OVERVIEW OF SPDB, SOUTH AUSTRALIA.....	10
FIGURE 1.4.A. INFLOW OF SALINE GROUNDWATER INTO THE RIVER MURRAY WITHOUT IMPLEMENTATION OF WAIKERIE SIS	10
FIGURE 1.4.B INTERCEPTION OF SALINE GROUNDWATER BY BORES OF THE WAIKERIE SIS	10
FIGURE 1.5. GENERAL LAYOUT PLAN OF THE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM AND SUPPORT INFRASTRUCTURE AT WISAC.....	13
FIGURE 1.6. PRIMARY SETTLEMENT PONDS FOR TREATMENT OF SIS GROUNDWATER BEFORE REUSE OR RETURN TO THE SIS PIPELINE	15
FIGURE 1.7. CONTRACTOR PUMPING SLUDGE FROM A PRIMARY SETTLEMENT POND AT WISAC .	15
FIGURE 1.8. DEGASSING TOWER INSTALLED TO REDUCE THE CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ IN SIS GROUNDWATER BEFORE USE FOR FINFISH CULTURE AT WISAC.....	16
FIGURE 1.9. PRODUCTION TANK G1 USED TO ASSESS AND DEMONSTRATE PRODUCTION OF MULLOWAY IN A SEMI-INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM AT WISAC.....	18
FIGURE 1.10. ECO-TRAP™ (AQUAOPTIMA, TRONDHEIM, NORWAY) PARTICLE TRAP THAT COLLECTED UNEATEN FOOD AND FAECES FROM A 70 KL PRODUCTION TANK AT WISAC	18
FIGURE 1.11. FORCE 7 OXYGEN DISSOLUTION DEVICE INSTALLED IN EACH PRODUCTION TANK AT WISAC FOR OXYGENATION AND INCREASED WATER FLOW	19
CHAPTER 2 - CHARACTERISATION OF THE COMPOSITION OF SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SALINITY INTERCEPTION SCHEME AND STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN	23
FIGURE 2.1. WATER SAMPLES TAKEN SEPTEMBER 2004 - AUGUST 2005 AT FOUR LOCATIONS: 1 – WAIKERIE SECTION, 2 – WOOLPUNDA SECTION, 3 – QUALCO–SUNLANDS SECTION AND 4 – SPDB DISCHARGE POINT	27
FIGURE 2.2. MONTHLY pH FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPT 2004 – AUG 2005.....	28
FIGURE 2.3. MONTHLY SALINITY (G/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005.....	30
FIGURE 2.4. MONTHLY CALCIUM CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = CALCIUM LEVEL OF EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	31

FIGURE 2.5.	MONTHLY MAGNESIUM CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 – AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = MAGNESIUM LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	31
FIGURE 2.6.	MONTHLY POTASSIUM CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO -SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = POTASSIUM LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	32
FIGURE 2.7.	MONTHLY SODIUM CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = SODIUM LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	33
FIGURE 2.8.	MONTHLY BICARBONATE CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = BICARBONATE LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	34
FIGURE 2.9.	MONTHLY CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = CHLORIDE LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER).....	34
FIGURE 2.10.	MONTHLY POTASSIUM:CHLORIDE RATIO FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005	35
FIGURE 2.11.	MONTHLY FLUORIDE CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 – AUGUST 2005.....	36
FIGURE 2.12.	MONTHLY SULPHATE CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (DOTTED LINE = SULPHATE LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	36
FIGURE 2.13.	MONTHLY SILICA CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005. (DOTTED LINE = SULPHATE LEVEL IN EQUIVALENT SALINITY SEAWATER)	37
FIGURE 2.14.	MONTHLY IRON CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005.....	38
FIGURE 2.15.	MONTHLY ARSENIC CONCENTRATION (MG/L) FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005.....	39
FIGURE 2.16.	MONTHLY RAINFALL (MM) FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF WAIKERIE BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 2004 AND AUGUST 2005	40

CHAPTER 3 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY (*ARGYROSOMUS JAPONICUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN.45

FIGURE 3.1. LAYOUT OF EIGHT EXPERIMENTAL TANKS AND ASSOCIATED COMPONENTS OF INDIVIDUAL RECIRCULATING WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS USED TO CULTURE MULLOWAY IN DIFFERENT WATER TYPES AT SAASC 49

FIGURE 3.2. MEAN MONTHLY WEIGHTS (\pm SE) FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN EXPERIMENT 1 FOR 122 DAYS IN SIS GROUNDWATER AND DILUTED SEAWATER FOR 122 DAYS 53

FIGURE 3.3. MEAN SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (% BODY WEIGHT PER DAY, \pm SE) FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN EXPERIMENT 1 IN SIS GROUNDWATER AND DILUTED SEAWATER FOR 122 DAYS..... 53

FIGURE 3.4. MEAN APPARENT FOOD CONVERSION RATIO (FCR, \pm SE) FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SIS GROUNDWATER IN EXPERIMENT 1 FOR 122 DAYS .. 54

FIGURE 3.5. MONTHLY MEAN WEIGHTS (G, \pm SE) FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN EXPERIMENT 2 IN SIS GROUNDWATER, DILUTED SEAWATER AND SEAWATER FOR 45 DAYS..... 55

FIGURE 3.6. MEAN (\pm SE) ROUTINE METABOLIC RATE (μ MOL O₂/G/HR), METABOLIC SCOPE (μ MOL O₂/G/HR) AND MAXIMUM METABOLIC RATE (μ MOL O₂/G/HR) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER, SIS GROUNDWATER AND SEAWATER..... 56

CHAPTER 4 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SNAPPER (*PAGRUS AURATUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN.....61

FIGURE 4.1. LAYOUT OF EIGHT EXPERIMENTAL TANKS AND ASSOCIATED COMPONENTS OF INDIVIDUAL RECIRCULATING WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS USED TO CULTURE SNAPPER IN DIFFERENT WATER TYPES AT SAASC 66

FIGURE 4.2. MONTHLY MEAN WEIGHT (G, \pm SE) OF SNAPPER CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SPDB GROUNDWATER FOR 62 DAYS 68

FIGURE 4.3. MONTHLY MEAN FEED CONVERSION RATIO (FCR) OF SNAPPER CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SPDB GROUNDWATER FOR 62 DAYS 69

FIGURE 4.4. MONTHLY MEAN SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (SGR) OF SNAPPER CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SPDB GROUNDWATER FOR 62 DAYS 69

FIGURE 4.5. MEAN ROUTINE METABOLIC RATE, METABOLIC SCOPE AND MAXIMUM METABOLIC RATE (μ MOL O₂/G/HR, \pm SE) FOR SNAPPER CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER WATER AND SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM SPDB..... 70

FIGURE 4.6. COMPARISON OF THE RELATIVE PROPORTION OF MAXIMUM METABOLIC RATE ATTRIBUTED TO METABOLIC SCOPE AND ROUTINE METABOLIC RATE FOR SNAPPER CULTURED IN SPDB GROUNDWATER AND DILUTED SEAWATER. 71

CHAPTER 5 – EVALUATION OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH IN SALINE GROUNDWATER.....75

FIGURE 5.1. LAYOUT OF 800 L TANK AND WATER TREATMENT COMPONENTS USED TO CONDUCT TRIAL 1 WITHIN TEMPERATURE CONTROLLED ROOM AT THE SOUTH AUSTRALIAN AQUATIC SCIENCES CENTRE (SAASC) 79

FIGURE 5.2. EXPERIMENTAL TANKS (800 L) AND RECIRCULATED WATER TREATMENT SYSTEMS USED IN TRIAL 3..... 81

FIGURE 5.3. MEAN (\pm SE), ROUTINE METABOLIC RATE, MAXIMUM METABOLIC RATE AND METABOLIC SCOPE μ MOL/G/HR FOR YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH GROWN IN DILUTED SEAWATER (DSW), SIS GROUNDWATER (GW) AND STANDARD SEAWATER (SW) FOR 21 DAYS. THERE WERE NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MEANS FOR EACH RESPONSE VARIABLE (P > 0.05, ONE-FACTOR ANOVA). 85

FIGURE 5.4.	MEAN (\pm SE) FORK LENGTH (MM) OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH GROWN IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALINE WATER FOR 40 DAYS. SW = STANDARD SEAWATER; DSW = DILUTED SEAWATER; GW = GROUNDWATER; GWK = GROUNDWATER WITH POTASSIUM ADDED.....	88
FIGURE 5.5.	MEAN (\pm SE) CONDITION INDEX (WEIGHT (G) X 105/FORK LENGTH (MM) ³) OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH GROWN IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALINE WATER FOR 40 DAYS. SW = STANDARD SEAWATER; DSW = DILUTED SEAWATER; GW = GROUNDWATER; GWK = GROUNDWATER WITH POTASSIUM ADDED.....	89
FIGURE 5.6.	MEAN (\pm SE) SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (% BODY WEIGHT PER DAY) OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH GROWN IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SALINE WATER FOR 40 DAYS. SW = STANDARD SEAWATER; DSW = DILUTED SEAWATER; GW = GROUNDWATER; GWK = GROUNDWATER WITH POTASSIUM ADDED	89

CHAPTER 6 – PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY, *ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS*, CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER AT WISAC.....95

FIGURE 6.1.	FIRST STOCKING OF 3,200 ADVANCED MULLOWAY INTO PRODUCTION TANK G1 AT WISAC ON 8 MAY 2006	97
FIGURE 6.2.	MONTHLY MEAN WEIGHT (KG, \pm SD) OF BATCH 1 ADVANCED MULLOWAY CULTURED AT WISAC FOR 449 DAYS.....	100
FIGURE 6.3.	MONTHLY FEED CONVERSION RATIO, (FCR) AND SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (SGR) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN PRODUCTION TANK G1 AT WISAC FOR 449 DAYS.....	100
FIGURE 6.4.	MONTHLY CONDITION INDEX (MEAN \pm STANDARD DEVIATION) FOR MULLOWAY GROWN IN PRODUCTION TANK G1 AT WISAC FROM 27 DECEMBER 2006 UNTIL 30 JULY 2007 (216 DAYS)	101
FIGURE 6.5.	MONTHLY SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (SGR) AND MEAN WEIGHT (G, \pm SD) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN PRODUCTION TANK G2 AT WISAC FOR 543 DAYS.....	102
FIGURE 6.6.	MONTHLY SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (SGR) AND MEAN WEIGHT (G, \pm SD) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN PRODUCTION TANK G3 AT WISAC FOR 548 DAYS.....	102
FIGURE 6.7.	MONTHLY MEAN CONDITION INDEX (\pm SD) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN PRODUCTION TANKS G2 AND G3 AT WISAC FOR 543 DAYS AND 548 DAYS RESPECTIVELY.....	103
FIGURE 6.8.	COMPARISON OF GROWTH (DAYS FROM 2.0G) OF TWO BATCHES OF MULLOWAY CULTURED USING SIS GROUNDWATER IN THREE 70KL PRODUCTION TANKS AT WISAC	104
FIGURE 6.9.	COMPARISON OF GROWTH OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER AT WISAC, IN AMBIENT SEAWATER IN COMMERCIAL SEACAGES; AND IN NATURAL CONDITIONS IN THE WILD.....	107
FIGURE 6.10.	THE LOGO USED TO MARKET THE MULLOWAY FROM WISAC.....	109

CHAPTER 7 - PERFORMANCE OF A SEMI-INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM FOR CULTURE OF MULLOWAY AT WISAC117

FIGURE 7.1.	MONTHLY MEAN TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}\text{C} \pm \text{SE}$) OF SIS GROUNDWATER ENTERING WISAC AND IN PRODUCTION TANKS USED TO CULTURE MULLOWAY	121
FIGURE 7.2.	MONTHLY MEAN WATER TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}\text{C} \pm \text{SD}$), AND MONTHLY MEAN WEIGHT (G, $\pm \text{SD}$) OF MULLOWAY CULTURE IN PRODUCTION TANKS G1 (449 DAYS), G2 (543 DAYS) AND G3 (548 DAYS) AT WISAC.....	122
FIGURE 7.3.	MONTHLY MEAN SALINITY (G/L $\pm \text{SE}$) OF WATER IN PRODUCTION TANKS G1 AT WISAC FROM 1 OCTOBER 2006 UNTIL 31 MAY 2007	123
FIGURE 7.4.	MEAN DISSOLVED OXYGEN CONCENTRATION (% SATURATION $\pm \text{SE}$) OF SIS GROUNDWATER BEFORE (PRE) AND AFTER (POST) DEGASSING AT WISAC	124
FIGURE 7.5.	TYPICAL DAILY LOG (6 MARCH 2007) OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION) OF EFFLUENT WATER FROM THREE MULLOWAY PRODUCTION TANKS AT WISAC.....	125
FIGURE 7.6.	TURBIDITY (NTU) OF WOOLPUNDA SIS GROUNDWATER USED AT WISAC AND NOTIFIED PIPELINE MAINTENANCE EVENTS FROM 1 APRIL 2007 UNTIL 3 APRIL 2008	126
FIGURE 7.7.	TURBIDITY (NTU) OF WOOLPUNDA SIS GROUNDWATER USED AT WISAC ON 9 AUGUST 2006.....	127
FIGURE 7.8.	MONTHLY MEAN DISSOLVED CARBON DIOXIDE (MG/L $\pm \text{SE}$) AND BIOMASS (KG) FOR MULLOWAY PRODUCTION TANKS AT WISAC.....	128
FIGURE 7.9.	DISSOLVED CO_2 CONCENTRATION (MG/L) OF SIS GROUNDWATER BEFORE (PRE) AND AFTER (POST) PASSING THROUGH A DEGASSING TOWER INSTALLED AT WISAC (MAY 2006 UNTIL MARCH 2008)	129
FIGURE 7.10.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DISSOLVED CO_2 AND PH FROM DATA COLLECTED FROM ALL PRODUCTION TANKS AT WISAC BETWEEN MAY 2006 AND MARCH 2008	129
FIGURE 7.11.	MONTHLY MEAN TOTAL SIS GROUNDWATER USE (KL/DAY $\pm \text{SE}$) AND TOTAL MONTHLY MULLOWAY BIOMASS (KG) AT WISAC WHILE PRODUCTION TANKS WERE STOCKED BETWEEN OCTOBER 2006 AND MARCH 2008	130
FIGURE 7.12.	PADDLEWHEEL IN USE IN A SEMI-INTENSIVE PRODUCTION TANK FOR EUROPEAN SEABREAM (<i>SPARUS AURATA</i>) IN ISRAEL	134

CHAPTER 8 – PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF DISSOLVED CARBON DIOXIDE ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF MULLOWAY, ARGYROSOMUS JAPONICUS 143

FIGURE 8.1.	SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM USED TO CONDUCT THE DISSOLVED CO_2 TRIAL WITH MULLOWAY AT WISAC.....	147
FIGURE 8.2.	PICTURE OF THE EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM USED TO CONDUCT THE DISSOLVED CO_2 TRIAL WITH MULLOWAY AT WISAC	148
FIGURE 8.3.	AVERAGE CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED CO_2 (MG/L) IN EACH EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENT.....	150
FIGURE 8.4.	AVERAGE PH IN THE EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS DURING THE TRIAL	151
FIGURE 8.5.	AVERAGE DISSOLVED OXYGEN SATURATION LEVEL (%) IN EXPERIMENTAL TANKS DURING THE TRIAL.	151

FIGURE 8.6.	AVERAGE WATER TEMPERATURE (°C) IN EXPERIMENTAL TANKS DURING THE TRIAL	152
FIGURE 8.7.	THE TOTAL NUMBER OF MORTALITIES RECORDED FOR EACH TREATMENT DURING THE TRIAL.....	153
FIGURE 8.8.	AVERAGE TOTAL WEIGHT (G/FISH, MEAN ± SE) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L).....	155
FIGURE 8.9.	AVERAGE TOTAL FORK LENGTH (MM; MEAN ± SE) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L).....	158
FIGURE 8.10.	AVERAGE CONDITION INDEX (MEAN ± SE) OF MULLOWAY CULTURED AT DIFFERENT CONCENTRATIONS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L)	158

CHAPTER 10 – HALOPHYTE CULTURE TRIALS, MARCH 2007 – FEBRUARY 2008..... 173

FIGURE 10.1.	SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA GROWING ADJACENT TO THE SPDB	174
FIGURE 10.2.	JUVENILE SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA GROWING AT SPDB	175
FIGURE 10.3.	PLANTS BEING TRANSFERRED FROM THE SPDB TO WISAC	176
FIGURE 10.4.	MAXIMUM DAILY AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURES AT WAIKERIE DURING TRIAL 1, MARCH 2007	178
FIGURE 10.5.	<i>SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA</i> GROWING FROM RHIZOMES AT SPDB	180
FIGURE 10.6.	<i>SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA</i> GROWING FROM RHIZOMES AT SPDB	180
FIGURE 10.7.	VISITING SCIENTISTS FROM SHANDONG ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CHINA, REMOVING EXCESS WATER FROM PLANTS BEFORE WEIGHING AND TRANSPLANTING.	181
FIGURE 10.8.	VISITING SCIENTISTS FROM SHANDONG ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CHINA, WEIGHING PLANTS PRIOR TO TRANSPLANTING AT WISAC.....	181
FIGURE 10.9.	<i>SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA</i> PLANTS POTTED AND SITTING IN TROUGHS SUPPLIED WITH “NEW” SIS WATER OR “USED” SIS WATER AT WISAC	182
FIGURE 10.10.	<i>SARCOCORNIA QUINQUEFLORA</i> PLANTS DIRECTLY AFTER TRANSPLANTING INTO 125 MM POTS AT WISAC	182
FIGURE 10.11.	MAXIMUM DAILY AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURES AT WAIKERIE DURING TRIAL 2, DECEMBER 2007.	184
FIGURE 10.12.	MAXIMUM DAILY AMBIENT AIR TEMPERATURES AT WAIKERIE DURING TRIAL 3 (17TH JANUARY TO 28TH FEBRUARY 2008).....	188
FIGURE 10.13.	<i>SALICORNIA SP.</i> BEING CULTURED IN SEAWATER DISCHARGED FROM FINFISH CULTURE TANKS AT THE NATIONAL CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN MARICULTURE, EILAT, ISRAEL (FLOWERS AND HUTCHINSON 2005).....	190
FIGURE 10.14.	DIAGRAM OF THE FILTER SYSTEM (BLACKWELL <i>ET AL.</i> , 2000).....	194
FIGURE 10.15.	DIAGRAM SHOWING HOW THE FILTER SYSTEM REMOVES NITROGENOUS POLLUTANTS FROM WATER (BLACKWELL <i>ET AL.</i> , 2000).....	195
FIGURE 10.16.	SEAWATER IRRIGATED FURROW CULTIVATION OF <i>SALICORNIA</i> ON A LARGE SCALE COMMERCIAL FARM ON THE WEST COAST OF MEXICO (WWW.SALICORNIA.COM). ..	196

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 2 - CHARACTERISATION OF THE COMPOSITION OF SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SALINITY INTERCEPTION SCHEME AND STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN23

TABLE 2.1	MEAN COMPOSITION OF SEA WATER.....	26
TABLE 2.2	MEAN WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS FOR THE WAIKERIE, WOOLPUNDA, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE STOCKYARD PLAINS DISPOSAL BASIN DISCHARGE POINT, BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 2004 AND AUGUST 2005 (\pm SD)	29
TABLE 2.3	MEAN METAL CONCENTRATIONS FOR THE WOOLPUNDA, WAIKERIE, QUALCO-SUNLANDS SIS AND THE SPDB DISCHARGE POINT, SEPTEMBER 2004 TO AUGUST 2005 (\pm SD).....	38

CHAPTER 3 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY (*ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN45

TABLE 3.1	COMPOSITION OF DILUTED SEAWATER AND SIS GROUNDWATER USED TO CULTURE MULLOWAY IN EXPERIMENT 1 FOR 122 DAYS.....	48
TABLE 3.2	COMPOSITION OF DILUTED SEAWATER AND SIS GROUNDWATER USED TO CULTURE MULLOWAY IN EXPERIMENT 2 FOR 45 DAYS.....	50
TABLE 3.3	MEAN (\pm SE) DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION), pH, SALINITY (G/L), WATER TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}$ C) AND TOTAL AMMONIA NITROGEN (MG/L) FOR THE DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS IN EXPERIMENT 1 OVER 122 DAYS.....	52
TABLE 3.4	MEAN (\pm SE) INITIAL AND FINAL WEIGHTS, BIOMASS GAIN PER TANK, SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (% BODY WEIGHT PER DAY, SGR) AND APPARENT FEED CONVERSION RATIO (FCR), FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SIS GROUNDWATER IN EXPERIMENT 1 OVER 122 DAYS (VALUES THAT SHARE A COMMON SUPERScript ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT, $P > 0.05$).....	52
TABLE 3.5	MEAN (\pm SE) DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION), pH, SALINITY (G/L), WATER TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}$ C) AND AMMONIA (MG/L) IN TANKS USED TO CULTURE MULLOWAY IN DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS IN EXPERIMENT 2 CONDUCTED OVER 45 DAYS	54
TABLE 3.6	MEAN (\pm SE) INITIAL AND FINAL WEIGHTS, BIOMASS GAIN, SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (% BODY WEIGHT PER DAY, SGR) AND APPARENT FEED CONVERSION RATIO (FCR) FOR MULLOWAY CULTURED IN DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS IN EXPERIMENT 2 OVER 45 DAYS	55
TABLE 3.7	COMPARISON OF METABOLIC PARAMETERS (MGO ₂ /KG/HR; MEAN \pm SE) FOR MULLOWAY RECORDED IN EXPERIMENT 3 WITH THOSE DETERMINED BY FITZGIBBON (2007); AND FOR RED DRUM, <i>SCIAENOPS OCELLATUS</i> (FOSBERG ET AL., 1997).....	58

CHAPTER 4 - ASSESSMENT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SNAPPER (*PAGRUS AURATUS*) CULTURED IN SALINE GROUNDWATER FROM STOCKYARD PLAIN DISPOSAL BASIN.....61

TABLE 4.1. COMPOSITION OF SEAWATER, ISO-OSMOTIC AND SALINE GROUNDWATER SOURCES USED IN THIS STUDY (MG/L UNLESS STATED). ANALYSIS CARRIED OUT BY AWQC, SA WATER 65

TABLE 4.2. MEAN (\pm SE) WATER TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}$ C), DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION), PH, SALINITY (G/L), AND TOTAL AMMONIA NITROGEN (MG/L) FOR DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS OVER 62 DAYS..... 67

TABLE 4.3. COMPARISON OF MEAN WEIGHT (G \pm SE), FCR AND SGR OF SNAPPER CULTURED IN DILUTED SEAWATER AND SPDB GROUNDWATER FOR A PERIOD OF 62 DAYS 68

CHAPTER 5 – EVALUATION OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH IN SALINE GROUNDWATER.....75

TABLE 5.1. MEAN (\pm SE) DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION), PH, SALINITY (G/L), WATER TEMPERATURE ($^{\circ}$ C) AND AMMONIA (MG/L) FOR DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS OVER 21 DAYS..... 83

TABLE 5.2. MEAN (\pm SE) INITIAL AND FINAL WEIGHTS, BIOMASS GAIN, SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (% BODY WEIGHT PER DAY, SGR) AND APPARENT FEED CONVERSION RATIO (FCR) FOR YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH CULTURED IN DIFFERENT WATER TYPE TREATMENTS OVER 21 DAYS (AVERAGES THAT SHARE A COMMON SUPERSCRIT ARE NOT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT, $P > 0.05$)..... 84

TABLE 5.3. MEAN (\pm SE) SALINITY (G/L), DISSOLVED OXYGEN (% SATURATION), AMMONIA (NH₄⁺/NH₃, MG/L), POTASSIUM (MG/L), CHLORIDE (MG/L) AND POTASSIUM TO CHLORIDE (K⁺:CL⁻) RATIO FOR DIFFERENT SALINE WATER TYPES OVER 40 DAYS. ... 86

TABLE 5.4. TWO-FACTOR ANOVA FOR TOTAL WEIGHT (G), FORK LENGTH (MM), CONDITION INDEX (WEIGHT (G) X 10⁵/FORK LENGTH (MM)³), WEIGHT GAIN (G), SGR (% BIOMASS PER DAY), FEED CONSUMED (G) AND APPARENT FCR OF YELLOWTAIL KINGFISH GROWN IN DIFFERENT SALINE WATER TYPES FOR 40 DAYS^{1,2} 87

CHAPTER 6 – PERFORMANCE OF MULLOWAY, *ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS*, CULTURED IN SIS GROUNDWATER AT WISAC.....95

TABLE 6.1. PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR TWO BATCHES OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN THREE 70,000L TANKS IN SIS GROUNDWATER AT WISAC FROM 8 MAY 2006 UNTIL 4 APRIL 2008 105

TABLE 6.2. GROWTH MODELS (ALL BATCHES AND BEST BATCH) OF NUMBER OF DAYS FOR 2.0 G MULLOWAY TO ACHIEVE TARGET WEIGHTS BASED UPON DATA COLLECTED FROM BATCH 1 - ADVANCED AND BATCH 2 FINGERLINGS CULTURED TO MARKET SIZE AT WISAC BETWEEN MAY 2006 AND APRIL 2008..... 106

TABLE 6.3. RESULTS FROM TESTING AND SCREENING FOR PESTICIDES, ANTIMICROBIALS, METALS TRIPHENYLMETHANE DYES, PCBs AND DIOXINS FROM A COMPOSITE SAMPLE OF FLESH FROM MULLOWAY (N = 10) CULTURED AT WISAC 108

CHAPTER 7 - PERFORMANCE OF A SEMI-INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM FOR CULTURE OF MULLOWAY AT WISAC117

TABLE 7.1.	MEAN CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L, ± SE) IN MULLOWAY PRODUCTION TANKS AT WISAC.....	127
TABLE 7.2.	SUMMARY OF SIS GROUNDWATER USED AT WISAC FROM MAY 2006 UNTIL MARCH 2008	130
TABLE 7.3.	SUMMARY OF PARAMETER MEASURED DAILY FOR SIS GROUNDWATER USED AT WISAC	131
TABLE 7.4.	SUMMARY OF MAJOR COSTS (\$/KG) AND PERCENTAGE OF COSTS OF PRODUCTION OF MULLOWAY CULTURED IN A SEMI-INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM OPERATED AT WISAC BETWEEN MAY 2006 AND MARCH 2008.	131

CHAPTER 8 – PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF DISSOLVED CARBON DIOXIDE ON GROWTH AND SURVIVAL OF MULLOWAY, ARGYRO SOMUS JAPONICUS143

TABLE 8.1.	THE NOMINAL AND ACTUAL AVERAGE CONCENTRATIONS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ (MG/L), AND PH FOR THE EXPERIMENTAL TREATMENTS ¹	150
TABLE 8.2.	TWO-FACTOR ANOVA RESULTS FOR TOTAL WEIGHT, WEIGHT GAIN, SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE (SGR), TOTAL LENGTH AND CONDITION INDEX OF MULLOWAY EXPOSED TO DIFFERENT LEVELS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ FOR 54 DAYS ^{1,2,3}	156
TABLE 8.3.	MEAN VALUES FOR TOTAL WEIGHT, WEIGHT GAIN, TOTAL LENGTH AND CONDITION INDEX OF MULLOWAY EXPOSED TO DIFFERENT LEVELS OF DISSOLVED CO ₂ FOR 54 DAYS ^{1,2,3}	157

CHAPTER 9 - CHARACTERISATION OF THE EFFECTS OF THE WASTE DISCHARGE FROM THE WAIKERIE INLAND SALINE AQUACULTURE CENTRE ON THE COMPOSITION OF SALINE INLAND AQUACULTURE WATER, MAY 2006 TO DECEMBER 2007165

TABLE 9.1.	ENVIRONMENTAL WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND FISH STOCK BIOMASS DATA FOR THE WISAC FROM MAY 2006 TO DECEMBER 2007.	169
------------	---	-----

CHAPTER 10 – HALOPHYTE CULTURE TRIALS, MARCH 2007 – FEBRUARY 2008.....173

TABLE 10.1.	TREATMENT DESCRIPTIONS AND NUMBER OF PLANTS USED IN TRIAL 1, MARCH 2007	176
TABLE 10.2.	CONDITION OF TRIAL 1 PLANTS AFTER FOUR WEEKS	177
TABLE 10.3.	TREATMENTS AND NUMBER OF PLANTS USED IN TRIAL 3, JANUARY - FEBRUARY 2008 ¹	187
TABLE 10.4.	CONDITION OF TRIAL 3 PLANTS AFTER THREE WEEKS (7TH FEBRUARY 2008) AND 6 WEEKS (28TH FEBRUARY 2008)	188
TABLE 10.5.	NUTRITIONAL COMPOSITION (100G/FRESH VEGETABLE) OF SALICORNIA (SIMPSON, UNDATED)	191
TABLE 10.6.	LEAF COMPOSITION OF A RANGE OF SALT TOLERANT PLANTS USED FOR LEAF PROTEIN PRODUCTION (INCLUDES <i>SALICORNIA</i>) (SIMPSON, UNDATED).....	192

Acronyms and definitions

ACIAR	Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
ADU	Aquaculture Development Unit
AMR	Active metabolic rate
AWQC	Australian Water Quality Centre
CPISARC	Cooke Plains Inland Saline Aquaculture Research Centre
DSW	Diluted seawater
DWLBC	Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity and Conservation
ET	Evapotranspiration
CI	Condition index
CNRM	Centre for Natural Resource Management
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
DCLW	District Council of Loxton Waikerie
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESAI	Ecologically Sustainable Agriculture Initiative
FCR	Food conversion ratio
FRDC	Fisheries Research and Development Corporation
GW	Groundwater
GWK	Salinity interception scheme groundwater with added potassium
IAAS	Integrated agri-aquaculture systems
ISAARG	Inland Saline Aquaculture Applied Research Group
ISARC	Inland Saline Aquaculture Research Centre
K ⁺ :Cl ⁻	Potassium to chloride ratio
kWh	Kilowatt hours
MDBA	Murray Darling Basin Authority
MIL	Murray Irrigation Limited
MMR	Maximum metabolic rate
MRL	Maximum residue limits [<i>Non-Technical Summary, page vii</i>]
MS	Metabolic scope
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NSGAE	Northern Spencer Gulf Aquaculture Enterprise Incorporated

NTU	Nephelometric turbidity units
ORL	Our Rural Landscape
PC	Personal computer
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl
pH	a measure of acidity
PIRSA	Primary Industries and Resources South Australia
PLC	Programmable logic controller
R&D	Research and development
RDC	Riverland Development Corporation
RMR	Routine metabolic rate
SAAM	South Australian Aquaculture Management Propriety Limited
SAASC	South Australian Aquatic Science Centre
SA MDB NRM	South Australian Murray Darling Basin Natural Resource Management
SARDI	South Australian Research and Development Institute
SD	Standard deviation
SE	Standard error
SIFTS	Semi-intensive floating tank system
SGA	Spencer Gulf Aquaculture Propriety Limited
SGR	Specific growth rate
SIS	Salt interception scheme
SPDB	Stockyard Plain Disposal Basin
SW	Seawater
WAP	Waikerie Aquaculture Park
WISAC	Waikerie Inland Saline Aquaculture Centre

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Aaron Boehm, Paul Drummond, James Duvnjak and Paul Skordes, technical staff of Aquatic Sciences, South Australian Research and Development Institute, who were involved in maintaining all fish during trials conducted at the South Australian Aquatic Science Centre and the Waikerie Inland Saline Aquaculture Centre. We also acknowledge the efforts of Professor Mark Powell (formerly School of Aquaculture, University of Tasmania, Launceston, Tasmania; currently Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Bodø University College, Norway) for providing equipment and working with Tim Flowers to conduct metabolism studies on mullocky, snapper and yellowtail kingfish. The authors would like to thank Peter Forward and Ryan Felder, SA Water, for their help in accessing some of the water samples required for this report and providing information on the background and operations of the salt interception schemes. The input on policy and regulation from Primary Industries and Resources South Australia Aquaculture Division and the South Australian Environmental Protection Agency was also appreciated. The considerable assistance provided by Louise Handley, Jane Ham and Steven Clarke to compile and format this final report is acknowledged and greatly appreciated. Finally, we would like to thank the Centre of Natural Resource Management, South Australian Government, and the National Action Plan for Salinity and Water Quality for their funding and support of this research.

Non-technical summary

Project title: Research to foster investor attraction and establishment of commercial aquaculture parks aligned to major saline groundwater interception schemes in South Australia

Principal investigator: Wayne Hutchinson

Address: South Australian Research and Development Institute
South Australian Aquatic Science centre
PO Box 120
Henley Beach, South Australia, 5022
Telephone 08 8207 5444
Email: Hutchinson.wayne@saugov.sa.gov.au

Outcomes achieved

The project described in this report was conducted by the South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI) between 1 July 2004 and 31 March 2008. The project was funded by the National Action Plan for Salinity and Water Quality and administered by the Centre for Natural Resource Management (CNRM) and the Department of Water, Land, Biodiversity and Conservation (DWLBC), South Australia. Research and development (R&D) and proof of concept activities of this project were conducted at the Waikerie Inland Saline Aquaculture Centre (WISAC), South Australia and the South Australian Aquatic Science Centre (SAASC), West Beach, Adelaide.

At the start of this project a workshop was convened to summarise past inland saline aquaculture R&D conducted by SARDI at the Cooke Plains Inland Saline Aquaculture Research Centre (CPISARC) and to introduce the objectives of this new project to stakeholders. At this workshop salt interception scheme (SIS) managers, and representatives of local and state government agencies, identified key issues that needed to be considered to allow use of SIS groundwater for aquaculture in South Australia.

Subsequently, a three week study tour was undertaken by key project personnel involving visits to research and commercial aquaculture facilities using saline groundwater in the United States of America and Israel. A report was compiled which summarised each facility visited and identified technologies that would be appropriate for using for SIS groundwater based aquaculture in South Australia.

Consultation with the District Council of Loxton Waikerie (DCLW) allowed the identification of an appropriate site for establishment of a proof of concept scale aquaculture facility near Waikerie, South Australia. The location was within 10 metres of the Woolpunda SIS pipeline and the land required was leased from a local landowner.

Between October 2004 and April 2006, WISAC was designed and constructed, including obtaining all necessary local and South Australian government approvals. The facility was commissioned in May 2006 when approximately 13,000 mulloway were stocked into production and nursery tanks supplied with SIS groundwater.

This project conducted the first comprehensive sampling program to determine the composition of groundwater from the Woolpunda, Waikerie and Qualco-Sunlands SISs and of water discharging from the combined SISs into the Stockyard Plain Disposal Basin (SPDB). The most significant finding of this 12 month sampling program was that, in general, the composition of the groundwater within the SISs was likely to be suitable for aquaculture of euryhaline species such as mulloway.

Subsequently, the performance of mulloway, snapper and yellowtail kingfish was assessed at an R&D scale using SIS groundwater transported from SPDB to the SAASC. This research showed that the growth and metabolism of mulloway and yellowtail kingfish were not significantly different in SIS groundwater, diluted seawater and seawater, while the growth of snapper was impaired in SIS groundwater compared to diluted seawater and seawater. These trials suggested that mulloway and yellowtail kingfish were potential species for culture in SIS groundwater, with mulloway likely to be the species of choice because of its known broad salinity tolerance.

From May 2006 until March 2008 mulloway were cultured to marketable size (>750 g) at WISAC in a semi-intensive aquaculture system, which achieved a final stocking density in excess of 30 kg per 1,000 L (1 kL). From this proof of concept scale production trial, 9,772 kg of fish were harvested and fish performance and operational aquaculture system data were collected. The mean total food conversion ratio (FCR) for all fish combined was 2.03. The mean specific growth rate (SGR) of the best performing batch of mulloway cultured at WISAC was 0.51%/day over the culture period of approximately 600 days, from when they were stocked at 2.0 g until harvest at >750 g.

Information from processors to whom these fish were sold, and an aligned marketing study commissioned by Primary Industries and Resources South Australia (PIRSA) Aquaculture and undertaken by the Ehrenberg-Bass Institute of Marketing Science, University of South Australia using fish supplied by this project, suggested that production of mulloway in excess of 1.5 kg would be desirable. It was estimated that a culture period of approximately 835 days would be needed to achieve this weight for mulloway using SIS groundwater in a semi-intensive aquaculture system at WISAC.

Production of mulloway at WISAC demonstrated that aquaculture could be effectively achieved in parallel with the operation of a major SIS. Risk management features that were included within the facility design (i.e. turbidity sensor controlling an actuated valve inlet valve and installation of water storage tanks) allowed maintenance procedures conducted by SIS operators (SA Water) to be completed without significant impediment to aquaculture operations.

The proof of concept production component of the overall project demonstrated that the growth rate of mulloway cultured in SIS groundwater at WISAC was substantially faster than

wild caught mullet and mullet cultured commercially in the marine environment in Spencer Gulf within seacages. The growth rate was also faster than that reported for both European sea bass and sea bream, both species that support large established aquaculture industries in the Mediterranean region. Despite these promising results, researchers believed better mullet performance could be achieved and sought to address some of the possible limiting factors.

Dissolved carbon dioxide (CO₂) was identified as the major issue impacting upon the performance of mullet in the semi-intensive aquaculture system investigated at WISAC. The concentration of dissolved CO₂ was high in the SIS groundwater and degassing was required before this water was supplied to fish cultured at WISAC. While degassing greatly reduced the dissolved CO₂ levels entering the mullet production tanks, dissolved CO₂ levels were still approximated 20 mg/L, which remained a concern although the effects of such concentrations on fish performance were ambiguous in the scientific literature reviewed. To better characterise the effects, an experimental system was constructed and a trial conducted. This demonstrated that the growth of mullet was significantly reduced when the concentration of dissolved CO₂ was greater than 6 mg/L, the lowest value assessed. This suggested that any commercial aquaculture system developed should incorporate more efficient methods to remove dissolved CO₂, and that this was likely to enhance the growth of mullet beyond what was obtained in the demonstration trials undertaken during this project. The use of a more intensive recirculation aquaculture system was proposed to optimise the use of the higher temperature of SIS groundwater for fish production while allowing management of the levels of dissolved CO₂ and oxygen.

During the culture of mullet at WISAC the mean cost of production was \$7.65/kg. The major costs considered were feed (47.7%), electricity (29.6%) and oxygen (19.8%). Based on the data collected it was suggested that cost reductions could be achieved through improved feed management to reduce FCR and improve growth, as well as selection of a commercial aquaculture site where wastewater need not be pumped back into the SIS pipeline discharging into the SPDB. An aligned project, commissioned by PIRSA Aquaculture and undertaken by Eonsearch Pty Ltd, used information provided by this project to populate an economic model for potential commercial investors to assess the financial viability of commercial SIS aquaculture.

Analysis of flesh was conducted from a sample of mullet cultured in SIS groundwater. Levels of all chemicals assessed were below the relevant Australian maximum residue limits (MRL) or were below the detectable limits of the methods used by the National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA) accredited laboratory employed. Prices received for the mullet produced by the proof of concept trials were between \$6.60 and \$7.00/kg. The retail price of whole fish was between \$12.00/kg and \$14.00/kg and between \$22.00/kg and \$25.00/kg for fillets. A good recovery rate of between 45% and 48% was reported for market size fish (>750 g). Responses from chefs, fish processors and consumers during taste testings and an aligned marketing study confirmed that the product was well accepted and of high quality. This suggested that a commercial facility undertaking significant production and providing consistent supply would be able to implement a marketing plan to achieve improved prices for high quality fish produced using SIS groundwater. A large production facility should also be able to reduce cost of production through economies of scale.

An environmental monitoring program was conducted to satisfy the requirements of PIRSA Aquaculture and South Australian Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This program demonstrated that the proof of concept scale production activities at the WISAC had minimal effect on the levels of key nutrients and suspended solids in the downstream water. However, any commercial aquaculture facilities aligned to SISs will need to address the relevant regulatory requirements of these state government agencies with their increased fish production levels likely to increase waste discharges. In recognition of this need, trials were commenced to investigate the use of a local halophyte (*Sarcocornia quinqueflora*) for treatment of waste water as an alternative to the more usual mechanical means used by aquaculturalists. While this was unsuccessful due to a lack of available knowledge about the biology and culture of the halophyte species selected, a review of relevant scientific information suggested that the concept had promise and was also likely to reduce the volume of water discharged to disposal basins, an outcome stated to be beneficial by SIS managers.

To begin to better understand the ecology of the SPDB, an aligned project was initiated, and managed to collect and assess monthly water quality and plankton data (a Flinders University Honours thesis) as well as complete a seasonal survey of the species of birds present (a consultant's report to SARDI).

To complete the project, a workshop was held to communicate the results and outcomes to those interested. Presentations covered not only the topic of this project, but also the key projects aligned to it. Following the presentations, a smaller group discussion session took place to review the project and make recommendations on the need and direction of future R&D.

Summary of progress against objectives

1. Summarise the planning and regulatory issues specific to development of commercial aquaculture aligned to SISs.

From the start of this project stakeholders involved with management and operation of SIS (i.e. Murray Darling Basin Authority [MDBA] and SA Water), inland aquaculture planning, regulation and industry development (i.e. PIRSA Aquaculture), and local and regional planning and industry development (i.e. DCLW and Riverland Development Corporation [RDC]) were consulted. On 24 and 25 June 2004, a workshop was held to summarise previous inland saline aquaculture R&D conducted by SARDI at the CPISARC, present the new SIS groundwater aquaculture project to a range of stakeholders, identify major planning and regulatory issues, and discuss future R&D directions.

Planning and regulatory issues required to support development of aquaculture aligned to SIS were identified as important matters that deserved to be further progressed during the conduct of this project to allow commercial developments. The activities of this R&D and proof of concept scale project initiated a consultation process conducted by PIRSA Aquaculture to gather information on the range of planning and regulatory issues to be addressed in order to

allow commercial aquaculture aligned to SISs operating in the Riverland region. From this consultation the discussion paper, *Draft framework for inland aquaculture zones around salt interception schemes*, was prepared (PIRSA Aquaculture, March 2006, unpublished). This paper identified a range of issues that required input from a number of South Australian state and local government agencies. Ultimately this precipitated a ‘whole of government’ case management framework approach to be adopted and led by PIRSA Aquaculture. SARDI, as the organisation undertaking this project, was a key participant in the case management framework group. This approach facilitated preparation of the following reports to further support commercialisation of outcomes of R&D and proof of concept scale activities:

1. *Market research and analysis of finfish farmed using groundwater*
2. *Economic and sensitivity analysis for the use of SIS for aquaculture development*
3. *Site optimisation using geographic information systems.*

Based upon experiences and data collected during R&D trials, SARDI provided regular input on technical and operational matters to consultants and agencies responsible for the preparation of each of these reports.

2. Complete a desktop study of potential species and conduct an audit of culture systems and environmental control technologies suitable for commercial aquaculture using groundwater from SISs.

At the start of this project it was recognised that a limited number of species could be identified as showing potential for culture using saline groundwater available from SISs. A national inland saline aquaculture development workshop that canvassed the views of R&D and industry participants across Australia concluded that barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*), silver perch (*Bidyanus bidyanus*), prawns (*Penaeus sp.*), mullet (*Argyrosomus japonicus*) and snapper (*Pagrus auratus*) were still among the top 13 prospects for commercial inland saline aquaculture (Allan *et al.*, 2001). The unique combination of water temperature, salinity, ionic composition and water volume available from SIS in the Riverland region dictated that mullet and snapper offered the best prospects for aquaculture and were the first species evaluated by this project. Another major selection criterion is the availability of fingerlings or ‘seed’ to stock a commercial aquaculture venture, with commercial hatcheries in South Australia presently only producing yellowtail kingfish (although mullet and snapper have been produced in the past). Yellowtail kingfish were also considered as a potential species for aquaculture using SIS groundwater as commercial sea-water aquaculture of this species has developed rapidly over recent years in South Australia and is now also occurring in New South Wales and Western Australia. There is an established supply of hatchery produced fingerlings and an expanding market demand for this species.

Initial project planning activities included an overseas study tour of inland saline aquaculture facilities in the southern states of USA and Israel to identify culture methods and technologies that would be most applicable to utilise with SIS groundwater for commercial aquaculture. This tour included visits to five commercial farms and three R&D facilities in the USA, and 13 commercial farms and three R&D facilities in Israel. A report was compiled following this tour (Appendix A) summarising the operations and approaches to issues such

as environmental control and waste management. From the information gained during this study, combined with consideration of accumulated knowledge of a range of aquaculture systems, proof of concept scale semi-intensive and intensive aquaculture systems were proposed for construction at WISAC. However, insurmountable difficulties were encountered relating to international procurement and a decision had to be made to proceed only with the construction of the locally sourced semi-intensive aquaculture system at WISAC.

3. Establish an R&D facility to underpin development of a commercial Aquaculture Park near Waikerie (WAP) in conjunction with local TAFE and indigenous organisations, incorporating a pilot scale commercial farm, R&D, demonstration and training facilities.

A major component of this project entailed the design, construction and operation of WISAC, which was officially opened on 23 September 2006 by Ministers Karlene Maywald and Rory McEwen (Figure 1). WISAC was constructed on a leased site with close access to the main Woolpunda SIS pipeline. WISAC operated from May 2006 until April 2008 and supported proof of concept trials of a semi-intensive aquaculture system that was evaluated for the culture of mulloway. Over this period 9,772 kg of mulloway were harvested and supplied to local fish processors, distributors and restaurants. Trials were also conducted at WISAC on the affect of dissolved carbon dioxide (CO₂) on mulloway performance and the growth of local halophytic plants in SIS groundwater discharged from aquaculture production tanks.

During this project, TAFE made a strategic decision to only conduct aquaculture courses at their facilities in Port Lincoln and Urrbrae (Adelaide) leaving no possibility for training activities to be undertaken at WISAC. However, while operating, WISAC hosted local secondary school and TAFE students for work experience, and technical staff who had completed TAFE aquaculture training courses were employed by SARDI. In September 2006, SARDI hosted a *Science Outside the Square* event at WISAC. This involved a bus tour for 120 people visiting SIS operations (SA Water), a tour of WISAC's aquaculture operations and a tour of SPDB. In August 2007, WISAC hosted a *Science Week* event that involved a day of aquaculture activities presented to groups of 30 students from six local primary schools. WISAC hosted visits by scientists from across Australia and overseas as part of tours for the Australasian Aquaculture Conference (2006) and the Second International Salinity Forum (2008). Numerous visits were hosted for local agricultural bureaus, community groups and visiting dignitaries during the conduct of this project (Appendix C).



Figure 1. Official opening of WISAC by Ministers Karlene Maywald and Rory McEwen, 23 September 2006.

4. Assess suitability of groundwater from SPDB for culture of two species with best potential from the desktop study. Specifically, early trials will focus on growth in water from SPDB compared to salinity adjusted seawater and development of commercially applicable methods to compensate for potassium deficiencies if this is problematic.

The suitability of SIS groundwater for culture of mulloway, snapper and yellowtail kingfish was evaluated (Chapters 4, 5 and 6). Growth trials and metabolic studies on each of these species were conducted at SAASC. These trials concluded that mulloway was suitable for culture in SIS groundwater while yellowtail kingfish may have potential in a production system that can support this species. Growth of snapper cultured in SIS groundwater was reduced compared to fish cultured in similar salinity seawater. This species is not recommended for aquaculture using the SIS groundwater assessed.

5. Measure growth performance of two species with best potential in water from SPDB until these achieve market size.

Two batches of mulloway were cultured to market size in three proof of concept scale production tanks (70,000 L) at WISAC using SIS groundwater. A total of 13,112 fish were stocked in a semi-intensive aquaculture production system. This species showed high survival rates and the growth performance achieved indicated that a culture period of between 18.6 and 19.7 months was required to produce market size fish (>750 g) starting with 2.0 g

fingerlings. Market acceptance and product quality were high and the price achieved was between \$6.60 and \$7.00/kg for whole fish. It is suggested that further improvements could be achieved if problems identified could be adequately addressed. The two key issues to be addressed were reducing the elevated concentration of dissolved CO₂ that persisted in the culture system, and better feed management to improve growth and reduce production costs. It is suggested that higher prices could be achieved if a reliable supply of fish was maintained and a marketing plan undertaken that focused on the quality of this product and benefits of productive use of SIS groundwater.

Trials conducted at SAASC indicate that the SIS groundwater supports growth and survival of this species.

Two small batches of yellowtail kingfish were also stocked at WISAC, however, these fish showed progressive mortality. It was suggested that the production system used at WISAC was not suitable to support production of this fast growing and demanding species. Therefore, improved water treatment within an intensive system may be required to support production of yellowtail kingfish at WISAC.

6. Evaluate the performance of the two most compatible culture systems identified by the desktop technology audit and modify these if necessary to include discharge water treatment systems to allow sustainable inland saline aquaculture operations using SPDB water.

The design for WISAC proposed installation of a semi-intensive aquaculture system and an intensive aquaculture system. Only the locally constructed semi-intensive aquaculture system was installed due to international procurement issues preventing the purchase of the desired Israeli intensive system within the time frame available for this project.

The semi-intensive aquaculture system achieved the specified stocking density of 30 kg per 1,000 L (1 kL) when fish reached market size. Elevated concentration of dissolved CO₂ in culture water was identified as the major operational problem with this system. The results of this study showed that growth of mullet reduced as the concentration of dissolved CO₂ increased. Appropriate and effective methods to reduce and utilise nutrients in saline water discharged from WISAC utilising SIS groundwater were also identified as issues that needed to be addressed if commercialisation is to proceed. Preliminary investigations of the growth of a local species of halophyte (*Sarcocornia quinqueflora*) undertaken at WISAC demonstrated that transplantation of young established plants was not successful and any future R&D will need to be undertaken using propagated seedlings or by considering the use of other species. The high cost of pumping water back into the SIS was also identified as an issue that could be addressed with better site selection for a commercial aquaculture venture.

7. Generate performance and economic data from the demonstration farm for investment planning by industry and to support ongoing development of sustainable production systems aligned to SISs.

Data for major operating cost items was collected during production of mulloway at WISAC. Feed was identified as the major production cost followed by electricity and then oxygen. It is suggested that improvements can be made to reduce feed and oxygen costs while better site selection could greatly reduce the cost attributed to electricity. Information generated was also provided to consultants engaged by PIRSA Aquaculture to prepare the report *Economic and sensitivity analysis for the use of SIS for aquaculture development*. Fish (approximately 100 kg) were supplied for consumer taste testing and surveys (Figure 2) conducted by other consultants engaged by PIRSA Aquaculture and were the basis for the marketing report *Market research and analysis of finfish farmed using groundwater from SIS*. These reports were prepared for the ‘whole of government’ Case Management Framework – Aquaculture Development Utilising Wastewater from Salt Interception Schemes, and will provide the information required by commercial investors to assist them to plan for the use of SIS groundwater available for aquaculture.



Figure 2. Consumer surveys and taste testing of Murray mulloway conducted at the Central Market.

Need

This project undertook R&D and a proof of concept scale trial to facilitate the development of commercial aquaculture based on select fish species and aquaculture systems, and in doing so also evaluated the composition of groundwater for the Woolpunda, Waikerie and Sunlands-Qualco SISs that discharge into the SPDB. The development of viable and sustainable aquaculture exploiting essentially a wastewater resource was considered to provide the potential for a significant new and much needed economic opportunity for the Riverland region of South Australia.

To undertake this project there was a need to establish an integrated R&D and proof of concept facility supplied with SIS groundwater from Woolpunda. This facility was used to undertake research needed to provide information required to attract private sector investment in potential commercial farms using SIS groundwater in the Riverland region of South Australia.

To provide this information there was a need to undertake activities targeting four main technical areas:

1. the suitability of the SIS groundwater for aquaculture
2. selection and performance evaluation of preferred aquaculture species
3. evaluation of preferred aquaculture systems
4. assessment of planning, regulatory and sustainability issues associated with SIS aquaculture development.